

Date: Sat, 9 Oct 93 04:30:07 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #370
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Sat, 9 Oct 93 Volume 93 : Issue 370

Today's Topics:

 Enough of the debate.
If you're going to fight about it, spell it correctly! (3 msgs)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Fri, 08 Oct 93 14:59:34 CDT
From: swrinde!menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!unkaphaed!amanda!robert@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Enough of the debate.
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

clifto@indep1.UUCP (Cliff Sharp) writes:

> I doubt most Extras could pass the written Novice test I was given in 1967.

I wouldn't wager on that, if I were you. I might take you up on that bet.

--Robert

Date: Fri, 8 Oct 1993 16:22:23 GMT
From: mvb.saic.com!unogate!news.service.uci.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!uchinews!att-out!cbnewsj!k2ph@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: If you're going to fight about it, spell it correctly!
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Date: Thu, 07 Oct 93 23:14:21 CDT
From: mvb.saic.com!unogate!news.service.uci.edu!usc!cs.utexas.edu!swrinde!
menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!unkaphaed!amanda!robert@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: If you're going to fight about it, spell it correctly!
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

rcanders@nyx.cs.du.edu (Mr. Nice Guy) writes:

> If Morris bugs the of's so much long live Morris code

Never underestimate the power of human stupidity.

--Robert

Date: Fri, 8 Oct 93 22:26:45 GMT
From: mnemosyne.cs.du.edu!nyx!rcanders@uunet.uu.net
Subject: If you're going to fight about it, spell it correctly!
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <my33ac1w165w@amanda.jpunix.com> robert@amanda.jpunix.com (robert)
writes:

>rcanders@nyx.cs.du.edu (Mr. Nice Guy) writes:

>

>> If Morris bugs the of's so much long live Morris code

>

>Never underestimate the power of human stupidity.

>

I take it you are refering to the OF's who get bugged by the use of Morris
instead of Morse ?

--
Rod Anderson | "I do not think the United States government
rcanders@nyx.cs.du.edu | is responsible for the fact that a bunch of
 | fanatics decided to kill themselves"
Clinton, Gore, gone in four | Slick Willie the Compassionate

Date: (null)
From: (null)

Morris Code is very much alive. My videotape tutorial on learning WordPerfect says something about using the Morris Code with the VCR to tell where you are on the tape.

By the way, what does programming VCRs have to do with amateur radio?

73,
Bob K2PH

--

Bob Schreibmaier K2PH | UUCP: ...!att!mtdcr!bob
AT&T Bell Laboratories | Internet: bob@mtdcr.att.com
Middletown, N.J. 07748 | ICBM: 40o21'N, 74o8'W

Date: Fri, 8 Oct 1993 22:05:53 GMT
From: swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!math.ohio-state.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!
darwin.sura.net!rsg1.er.usgs.gov!dgg.cr.usgs.gov!bodoh@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <93279.103028SUSEEA@MAINE.MAINE.EDU>, <2530@indep1.UUCP>,
<294h75INN120@abyss.West.Sun.COM>sura.
Subject : Re: Getting my license. Do I want to be like this?

In article <294h75INN120@abyss.West.Sun.COM>, myers@sunspot.West.Sun.COM (Dana Myers) writes:

|> In article <2530@indep1.UUCP> clifto@indep1.UUCP (Cliff Sharp) writes:
|> >
|> > One final word: a lot of old-timers like myself have watched the bands
|> >for years, and observed that every time the tests got easier, the quality
|> >of the bands went down almost immediately. Having seen the written tests
|> >deteriorate to nothing, we held to code as the only _real_ thing one
|> >needed to work at to get a license; since removing the code requirement
|> >made the test easier yet, we've all been waiting for the other shoe to
|> >drop and the bands to deteriorate even further.
|>
|>
|> Hmmm... this old timer (original call WA6ZGB), licensed since 1977, currently
|> an Extra class licensee, has been around long enough to see the transition
|> from FCC testing to the VE program, etc.
|>
|> I have not seen a drop in quality of amateur radio that can be directly
|> correlated to the changes in testing.
|>
|> I suspect one will always see what one wants :-)
|> --

|> * Dana H. Myers KK6JQ, DoD 466 | Views expressed here are
*

Let's think for a minute what would happen if the 20 WPM test were eliminated tomorrow. You would see the following;

- + Lots of Advanced amateurs would study for the extra theory and advance
- + Lots of Generals would study for the Advanced and Extra and advance
- + Many Technicians (code and no-code) would see a light at the end of the tunnel and would advance - creating more coded amateurs
- + The average amateur would have a much higher class
- + The knowledge level of the 'average' amateur would be higher as they advance
- + There would be more phone use on HF
- + The average code speed of amateurs would probably stay about the same as there would be more at 13 WPM but fewer at 5 WPM and 20 WPM
- There would be more instances of phone transmissions interfering with code transmissions

Yes - there would be an impact on code operators. But there would be the same impact with any increase in the number of amateurs - and all of these new HF operators would know at least 13 WPM. The number of HF operators who only know 5 WPM would decrease.

Any more plusses or minuses - and let's stay civil and reasonable here. If mine are out of line - let's discuss it...

73

--

++++++
+ Tom Bodoh - Sr. systems software engineer, Hughes STX, N0YGT +
+ USGS/EROS Data Center, Sioux Falls, SD, USA 57198 (605) 594-6830 +
+ Internet; bodoh@dgg.cr.usgs.gov (152.61.192.66)
+
+ "Welcome back my friends to the show that never ends!" EL&P
+
++++++

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #370
